

# TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

CHARLES R. STEWART,

RESPONDENT

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

BEFORE THE

TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION

SC-961002

## ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION

### I. Recitals

The Texas Ethics Commission (the commission) met on November 22, 1996, to consider sworn complaint SC-961002 filed against Charles R. Stewart (the respondent). A quorum of the commission was present. The commission voted to accept jurisdiction of this complaint. Based on the investigation conducted by commission, the commission determined there was credible evidence of violations of Section 254.063, Election Code, a law administered and enforced by the commission. To resolve and settle this complaint without further proceedings, the commission proposes this agreed resolution to the respondent.

### II. Facts Supported by Credible Evidence

Credible evidence available to the commission would support the following findings of fact:

1. At all times relevant to this complaint, the respondent was a candidate for constable.
2. The respondent filed an appointment of campaign treasurer on December 19, 1995.
3. The complainant alleges that the respondent did not timely file two semiannual reports that were due on January 15, 1996, and July 15, 1996.
4. On August 13, 1996, the respondent filed a report covering the period of December 19, 1995, through December 31, 1995. The report listed no reportable activity.
5. On August 7, 1996, the respondent filed a report that covered the period of January 1, 1996, through June 30, 1996. The report listed \$300 in political expenditures.

### **III. Conclusions of Law**

The facts described in Section II would support the following findings and conclusions of law:

1. Section 254.063, Election Code, requires candidates to file two reports each year. The first report was due not later than January 15, 1996, and covered the period that began with the respondent's appointment of campaign treasurer on December 19, 1995, and that ended December 31, 1995. The respondent's second report was due not later than July 15, 1996, and covered the period that began January 1, 1996 and that ended June 30, 1996. The respondent did not timely file these reports, and therefore violated Section 254.063, Election Code.
2. Ethics Commission rules authorize the commission to consider fine amounts established by rule to determine the amount of a fine assessed in a sworn complaint. See 1 T.A.C. § 18.95(b). A person filing with the Ethics Commission would be subject to a fine of \$200 (\$100 for each report).

### **IV. Representations and Agreement by the Respondent**

By signing this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION and returning it to the commission:

1. The respondent neither admits nor denies the facts detailed under Section II and the commission's findings and conclusions of law detailed under Section III, and consents to the entry of this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION solely for the purpose of resolving and settling this sworn complaint.
2. The respondent consents to the entry of this Order before any adversarial evidentiary hearings or argument before the commission, and before any formal adjudication of law or fact by the commission. The respondent waives any right to a hearing before the commission or an administrative law judge appointed by the commission, and further waives any right to a post-hearing procedure established or provided by law.
3. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION, the respondent understands and agrees that the commission will consider the respondent to have committed the violations detailed in Section III, Paragraph 1, if it is necessary to consider a sanction to be assessed in any future sworn complaint proceedings against the respondent.

### **V. Confidentiality**

This ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION describes an alleged violation that the commission has determined would be neither technical or *de minimis*. Accordingly, this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION is not confidential under Section 571.140, Government Code, and may be disclosed by members and staff of the Texas Ethics Commission.

**VI. Sanction**

After considering the seriousness of the violation described under Sections II and III, including the nature, circumstances, consequences, extent, and gravity of the violation; that no previous violations by this respondent are known to the commission; and after considering the sanction deemed necessary to deter future violations, the commission imposes a \$100 civil penalty for the violations described under Section III, Paragraph 1.

**VII. Order**

The Texas Ethics Commission hereby ORDERS:

1. that this proposed AGREED RESOLUTION be presented to the respondent;
2. that if the respondent consents to the proposed AGREED RESOLUTION, this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION is a final and complete resolution of SC-961002;
3. that the respondent may consent to the proposed AGREED RESOLUTION only by signing an original of this document and mailing the signed original and the \$100 civil penalty to the Texas Ethics Commission, P.O. Box 12070, Austin, Texas 78711, no later than December 23, 1996; and
4. that the executive director shall promptly refer SC-961002 to either the commission or to an administrative law judge to conduct hearings on the commission's behalf and to propose findings of fact and conclusions of law to the commission in accordance with law if the respondent does not agree to the resolution of SC-961002 as proposed in this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION.

AGREED to by the respondent on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1996.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles R. Stewart, Respondent

EXECUTED ORIGINAL received by the commission on: \_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

Texas Ethics Commission

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Tom Harrison, Executive Director